

• 700 MHz → Region 41

March 2, 2005

Lt. Bart Hamilton
Regional Chairperson Region 12
Ada County Sheriff Office
7200 Barrister Drive
Boise, ID 83704

Dear Lt. Hamilton:

We have received a copy of the Region 12 700 MHz frequency assignment plan. We have reviewed the plan and submit this letter of concurrence to your plan. We appreciate the opportunity to comment. Good luck in the implementation of 700 MHz spectrum in the state of Idaho. Please call us if there is anything further you require.

Sincerely,



Steven H. Proctor
Region 41 Chairperson

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures
and
Procedures for Resolution of Disputes
That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans*

I. Coordination Procedures

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 41 (Utah) and Region 12 (Idaho).

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Region 41 (Utah) and Region 12 (Idaho), and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

1. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
2. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
3. An application filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
4. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
5. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review.¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area or interference contour extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region(s), the application must be approved by the affected Region(s). Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Interference contour shall normally be defined as a 5 dBu co-channel contour or a 60 dBu adjacent channel

6. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

III. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1. If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons' email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

2. If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC)², of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its

contour. Other definitions of service area or interference shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

² The Regional Plan Oversight Committee (RPOC) is a committee within the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) established to arbitrate disputes between 700 MHz Regions that cannot be resolved by the impacted Regions.


recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.

- i. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission-approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- ii. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission-approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- iii. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

IV. CONCLUSION

IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 41 (Utah) and Region 12 (Idaho) do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.


Respectfully,



Bart Hamilton, Chairperson
Region 12 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee

4/12/05

Date



Steven Proctor, Chairperson
Region 41 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee

4-21-05

Date

Region 43 700 MHz and 4.9 GHz Regional Planning Committee

c/o Kevin Kearns, Chairperson
King County Information and Telecommunications Services Division
700 5th Avenue, Suite 2300
Seattle, WA 98104-5002
Phone: 206-296-0660
Email: kevin.kearns@metrokc.gov
Web Site: www.region43.org

March 14, 2005

Lt. Bart Hamilton
Region 12 Regional Planning Committee Chairperson
Ada County Sheriff's Office
7200 Barrister Drive
Boise, ID 83704

Dear Lt. Hamilton,

Region 43 (Washington) has reviewed the Region 12 (Idaho) 700 MHz Plan dated January 14, 2005. At the Region 43 meeting on February 23, 2005 we voted consent to that Plan and this letter and the minutes of our meeting (which can be found at the following link <http://www.region43.org/docs/700mhz/Region43700MHzMinutesFebruary232005.pdf>) serve as record of that action.

Enclosed with this letter is a signed copy of the "Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures and Procedures for Resolution of Disputes" document between our two Regions.

We look forward to working with you and our other border Region (Oregon) in future Regional Planning Committee interactions.

Sincerely,



Kevin Kearns
Region 43 Chairperson

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures
and
Procedures for Resolution of Disputes
That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans*

I. Coordination Procedures

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 43 (Washington) and Region 12 (Idaho).

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Region 43 (Washington) and Region 12 (Idaho), and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

1. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
2. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
3. An application filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
4. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
5. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review.¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area or interference contour extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region(s), the application must be approved by the affected Region(s). Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Interference contour shall normally be defined as a 5 dBu co-channel contour or a 60 dBu adjacent channel

6. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

III. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1. If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons' email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

2. If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC)², of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its

contour. Other definitions of service area or interference shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

² The Regional Plan Oversight Committee (RPOC) is a committee within the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) established to arbitrate disputes between 700 MHz Regions that cannot be resolved by the impacted Regions.

recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.

- i. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission-approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- ii. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission-approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- iii. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

IV. CONCLUSION

IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 43 (Washington) and Region 12 (Idaho) do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,



Bart Hamilton, Chairperson
Region 12 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee

2/3/05

Date



Kevin Kearns, Chairperson
Region 43 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee

3/14/05

Date

700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Region 46 Wyoming

Mark Joiner
Interim Chairperson
USDI / Bureau of Land Management
1335 Main Street
Lander, Wyoming 82520

Region 12
C/o Bart Hamilton, Chairperson
Ada County Sheriff's Office
7200 Barrister Dr.
Boise, ID 83704

June 22, 2005

Dear Mr. Chairperson:

Region 46 is in receipt of the proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan for Region 12 (Idaho). The Region 46 Planning Committee has reviewed and concurs with the Region 12 plan.

This letter serves as official notification and written concurrence from Region 46 Planning Committee to the Region 12 Planning Committee that we concur with your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan Region. Please contact me directly at (307) 332-8460 if I may be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



Mark Joiner
Interim Regional Chairperson
Region 46

Appendix H

New Concurrence Letters and Dispute Resolution Agreements

Region 43 700 MHz and 4.9 GHz Regional Planning Committee

c/o Jon (Wiz) Wiswell, Chairperson

City of Seattle

P.O. Box 94709

Seattle, WA 98124-4709

Phone: 206-386-1215

Email: jon.wiswell@seattle.gov

Web Site: www.region43.org

Bart Hamilton, Region 12 RPC Chair
7200 Barrister Drive
Boise, ID 83704

Region 43 is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Revised Regional Plan, submitted to this Committee on 22 January 2008.

This letter serves as the official written concurrence of Region 43 to your proposed 700 MHz Revised Regional Plan.

Respectfully,



Signed: _____

Date: 29 January 2008

Jon (Wiz) Wiswell
Region 43 Chairperson for 700 MHz and 4.9 GHz
National Public Safety Planning Advisory Committee

Lt. Bart Hamilton
Region 12 Chairperson
Ada County Sheriff's Office
7200 Barrister Drive,
Boise, Idaho 83704

January 28, 2008

Dear Lt. Hamilton

Region 46 is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan, submitted to this Committee on 1/22/08. Region 46 was polled by email on 1/23/08, reviewed and formally approved Region 12's Plan.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 12 to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

Mark Joiner
Region 46 Chairperson
Bureau of Land Management
1335 Main Street, P.O. Box 16
Lander, Wyoming 82520